

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Upon entry of this Amendment, which amends claims 1, 4, 15, 18 and 29-30, and adds new claims 31-34, claims 1-34 will be pending. In the Office Action, claims 1-2, 11-12, 14-16, 25-26 and 28-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shen (U.S. Patent No. 5,946,697); claims 3-8 and 11-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen and further in view of Helgeson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,643,652) hereinafter Helgsburgh); and claims 9-10, 13, 23-24 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen and further in view of Barr et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,366,933, hereinafter "Barr"). Applicant respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections in view of the Amendments above and remarks below.

Examiner Interview

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesy of the interview conducted on July 27, 2005. During the interview, possible claim amendments and the cited references were discussed.

Claims 1-14 and 31

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen. Applicant submits that Shen does not disclose or suggest every element of claim 1, as amended. For example, Shen fails to disclose or suggest:

identifying an online resource to monitor, the online resource being stored in a first format, the online resource in the first format including data in a non-strict architectural structure;

converting the online resource to a strict formatted file, wherein data in the first format of the online resource is converted into a strict architectural structure in the strict formatted file;

identifying relevant data based on the strict architectural structure of the data in the strict formatted file using an analytic parser; and determining whether the identified relevant data has been altered.

Shen discloses synchronizing an HTML file cached at a client location with changes to an HTML file stored at a server location. The changes are sent in a file from the server to the client. *See Shen*, col. 3, lines 2-12.

As was discussed in the Examiner Interview, Shen does not disclose or suggest converting the format of HTML files. Rather, the changes are just sent to the client. Accordingly, Shen does not disclose or suggest converting the online resource to a strict formatted file, wherein data in the first format of the online resource is converted into a strict architectural structure from a non-strict architectural structure. Further, Shen does not disclose or suggest identifying relevant data based on the strict architectural structure.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1.

Claims 2-14 and 31 depend from claim 1 thus derive patentability at least therefrom. These claims also recite additional nonobviousness and novel features. For example, claim 31 recites identifying data flags or identifiers in the strict architectural structure to identify the relevant data. Applicants submit that the cited references do not disclose or suggest this step.

Claims 15-30 and 32

Applicants submit claim 15 should be allowable for at least a similar rationale discussed with respect to claim 1. Claims 16-28 and 32 depend from claim 15 and thus derive patentability at least therefrom. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections of claims 16-28 and 32.

Applicants submit that claims 29 and 30 should be allowable for at least a similar rationale as discussed with respect to claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejections of claims 29-30. Claim 33 depends from claim 29 and thus derives patentability at least therefrom. Claim 34 depends from claim 30 and thus derives patentability at least therefrom. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections of claims 33 and 34.

Appl. No. 09/848,987
Amdt. dated August 17, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 25, 2005
Examining Group 2155


PATENT

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant believes all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-576-0200.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Brian N. Young', with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Brian N. Young
Reg. No. 48,602

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 415-576-0200
Fax: 415-576-0300
Attachments
BNY:jtc:rgy
60563959 v1